800 V Three-Phase Output LLC DC/DC Resonant Converter

In this application note, we will introduce a 5 kW *LLC* resonance-type DC/DC converter with three-phase output. The converter employs silicon carbide (SiC) MOSFETs for the switching elements and uses isolated transformers. Since the SiC MOSFETs have a breakdown voltage characteristic of 1,200 V, the input voltage can be increased up to 800 V. Employing switching frequencies of the transistors of approximately 200 kHz and 160 kHz for an input voltage of 600 V and 800 V, respectively, enables the isolated transformers and the input and output capacitors to be significantly downsized. However, their conduction loss in on-resistance *R*_{DS(on)} is not sufficiently small, unlike that of silicon (Si) MOSFETs. To improve this conduction loss, the currents in the individual phases are reduced by employing the three-phase circuit topology. As a result, an output power as high as 5 kW is achieved. Furthermore, an additional technology is implemented to minimize the capacitance of the input and output capacitors. Employing transformers with a current balancing function among the three phases effectively reduced the differences between the maximum peak currents generated in the individual phases. In this application note, we will introduce an example of these novel *LLC* converters that achieved a conversion efficiency of 97.6% at 5 kW by using these technologies.

These novel inverter circuits have been developed jointly with Power Assist Technology Ltd. (https://www.power-assist-tech.co.jp/) [29].

Features of *LLC* resonant converters and three-phase output circuit topology

An *LLC* resonant DC/DC converter (hereafter referred to as an "*LLC* dc/dc") is an attractive candidate as a circuit design for utilizing the zero-voltage switching (hereafter referred to as "ZVS") and pulse width modulation (hereafter referred to as "PWM") technologies to avoid the switching-loss problem unique to switching power supplies [1]-[7]. An *LLC* dc/dc equipped with the ZVS utilizes a spontaneous resonance produced by the series connection of an inductor and a capacitor (LC resonance). The current generated by this resonance takes the form of a pseudo sine wave, preventing unexpected voltage spikes. In other words, since an *LLC* dc/dc equipped with the ZVS and the zero-current-switching (ZCS) requires no additional circuit, it can simplify the circuit design and solve problems, including the voltage spikes due to the reverse recovery current in the rectifier diode for the ZVS PWM converters [8].

However, the spontaneous current resonance limits the operation range of switching devices. The transistors of the *LLC* dc/dc can generate a high resonance frequency by switching at a high frequency and extend the applicable range of the output voltage [9]. This enables the passive components to be further downsized. Therefore, high frequency switching devices, including SiC MOSFETs, GaN devices, and Si MOSFETs, can be considered suitable for the *LLC* dc/dc [10].

In addition, a high performance *LLC* dc/dc should achieve a power conversion efficiency as high as possible. A power conversion at a low voltage and a large current generally reduces the conversion efficiency because of Joule heat loss. Accordingly, the Joule heat loss is reduced by parallelizing the output circuit and employing a high input voltage to disperse a large current. The three-phase output circuit topology employed here can reduce the current in the single-phase circuit to 1/3 of the total current. Therefore, although the current ripple in the input and output can be absorbed with capacitors, an LC filter is required to reduce the current ripple in the ZVS PWM [11].

Meanwhile, the Si MOSFETs or GaN devices are not suitable for the switching devices when the input voltage is high. This is because the allowable voltage range is lower compared with IGBTs, although the switching characteristics are superior. The breakdown voltage (VB) of mass-produced Si MOSFETs and GaN devices is generally less than 650 V. When the BV of these devices exceeds 650 V, their *R*_{DS(on)} usually exceeds several hundred milliohms[12]-[13]. Furthermore, for the safe operation of the power supply system, the allowable voltage range of the power supply must cover the input voltage. Therefore, if the input voltage exceeds 600 V, it does not satisfy the general allowable voltage range of the Si MOSFETs or GaN devices. Accordingly, it is necessary to select a multi-level converter in order to enable a high input voltage with these devices. However, since many switching devices are required, the control system is complicated and the production cost is drastically increased [14]-[18].

In contrast, the SiC MOSFETs can satisfy the requirements of the fast switching speed and the high BV [19] simultaneously. These advantageous device characteristics of the SiC MOSFETs allow application of the high input voltage achieved with the high switching speed and the high BV. As a result, since a smaller power transformer can be used, an *LLC* dc/dc with a high power conversion efficiency can be effectively downsized.

This application note explains the advantages of configuring a three-phase output circuit *LLC* dc/dc equipped with isolated transformers (Figure 1) and employing SiC MOSFETs with the BV of 1,200 V. Isolated transformers generally make up a large volume of the power supply and with a maximum switching frequency exceeding 200 kHz, they can be significantly downsized. The high BV allows an input voltage as high as 600 V to 800 V. The three-phase output circuit configuration reduces the maximum current in the circuit, enabling improvement in the power conversion efficiency. Furthermore, a technology to balance these three-phase circuit currents is added to the transformers, reducing the maximum peak current in the circuit. As a result, the input and output capacitors are downsized. In the following chapters, we explain the circuit operation in detail and introduce the verification result of the actual equipment.



Figure 1. A view of the 5-kW LLC dc/dc using SiC MOSFETs.



(a) LLC basic circuit

(b) Three-phase LLC circuit



Operation principle and circuit configuration

Figure 2 (a) shows the basic circuit of the *LLC* dc/dc. The *LLC* circuit is basically configured with a half bridge having two switches, Q_1 and Q_2 . These switches are connected with resonance inductance L_r , magnetizing inductance of the isolated transformer L_m , and resonance capacitor C_r in series. The diagram shows the interleaved type circuit configuration composed of these passive components as a resonance tank.

 Q_1 and Q_2 are alternately switching with a duty cycle of approximately 50%. Dead time for the period while both Q_1 and Q_2 are turned OFF is provided to avoid short circuiting between Q_1 and Q_2 . The soft switching operation is performed during this dead time period.

Figure 3 shows the voltage and current waveforms in Q_1 and Q_2 of the *LLC* dc/dc. The figure shows gate-source voltage V_{gk} , drain-source voltage V_{Qk} , and drain current I_{Qk} on Q_k , as well as forward current I_{Dok} of secondary side diode D_{ok} (k = 1, 2).





The operation method of the circuit is explained below.

- Term 1 ($t_0 t_1$): This period starts when Q_2 is turned OFF. V_{Q2} is increased with the resonance of ($L_m + L_r$) and C_r . The period continues until V_{Q1} reaches 0.
- Term 2 ($t_1 t_2$): This period starts when V_{Q1} reaches 0. The reverse current starts flowing to body diode D_{o1} of Q_1 . The ZVS is achieved with Q_1 being turned ON while this reverse current is flowing. The resonance of ($L_m + L_r$) and C_r generates voltage on L_m in such a manner that the voltage is applied to D_{o1} in the forward direction.
- Term 3 ($t_2 t_3$): I_{Do1} starts flowing resonantly between L_r and C_r . This resonance increases I_{Do1} and supplies the power. Term 4 ($t_3 - t_4$): This period starts when the value of I_{Q1} changes from negative to positive. During this period, I_{Do1}
- spontaneously decreases due to the L_r - C_r resonance. The period continues until I_{Do1} reaches 0. Term 5 (t₄ - t₅): During this period, the resonance continues between (L_m + L_r) and C_r . The period continues until Q₁ is turned OFF.

Term 6 ($t_6 - t_{10}$): Q_1 and Q_2 switch their roles in the circuit and Terms 1 to 5 are repeated.

To improve the efficiency, the three-phase *LLC* configuration is employed as shown in Figure 2 (b). The phases are switching with a phase difference of 120 degrees [20]-[22]. In this three-phase *LLC* dc/dc, Q_j , D_{oj} , and L_{mj} (j = 1 to 6) as well as L_{ri} and C_{ri} (i = 1 to 3) are operated in the same way as in Figure 2 (a).

It is practically impossible to manufacture transformers with exactly identical characteristics. Therefore, unbalanced transformers generate unbalanced currents in the individual phases, resulting in a larger current ripple in the output capacitor. Mitigation measures for this problem of unbalance are shown in [21] and [22] for example, but they require additional components. To avoid such additional components, L_{mbi} is placed adjacent to the transformers connected in parallel as shown in Figure 3 (b). These additional transformers are hereafter referred to as the current balance transformers, which equalize the currents in the individual phases and contribute to the downsizing of input and output capacitors C_{ink} and C_{ok} . In addition, the reduction in the peak current provides a method to avoid deterioration in the reliability of the output capacitor [23].



Figure 4. Three-phase current balance topology

The phase shift of 120 degrees between the phases means that the total current is always zero as shown in Figure 4. As a result, L_{mbi} generates no effective magnetic flux. Therefore, L_{mbi} has no effect on how L_{mj} , L_{ri} , and C_{ri} resonate.

Diode D_r shown in Figure 3 returns the output power to the input side, and the input power supply provides only the power corresponding to the power loss in the system. This allows an accurate measurement of the power conversion efficiency [24]. In this design example, since output voltage V_0 and input voltage V_{in} are approximately the same, the gain defined as V_0/V_{in} is approximately 1. If the gain = 1, according to the gain equation for the *LLC* dc/dc considering the secondary leakage inductance

approximately 1. If the gain = 1, according to the gain equation for the *LLC* dc/dc considering the secondary leakage inductance and the resistance component, the expected output power can be obtained by adjusting the switching frequency (f_{sw}). Therefore, f_{sw} of Q_j is adjusted to set the output voltage.

Design of transformers

To design the transformers as small as possible, it is necessary to pay attention to the following.

- · Operate the transformers below the saturated magnetic flux density
- To reduce core loss P_{core} as much as possible, keep the maximum magnetic flux density during operation as low as possible
- To downsize the power supply unit, keep primary side winding number *N*_p, secondary side winding number *N*_s, and effective core area *A*_e small

Since the magnetic flux density during operation is directly related to core loss P_{core} , it must be decreased to design suitable transformers. Maximum magnetic flux density B_m with the duty of 50% is generally expressed with Equation (1) [25].

$$B_{\rm m} = \frac{V_{in}}{8f_{sw}N_pA_e} \tag{1}$$

Equation (1) indicates that at least one of f_{sw} , N_p , or A_e must be increased to decrease B_m under constant V_{in} . However, since increasing N_p or A_e leads to increase in the transformer size, increasing N_p or A_e is not an appropriate option to downsize the power supply. In contrast, increasing f_{sw} can decrease B_m without increasing the transformer size, and the SiC MOSFETs can satisfy this requirement.

Here, f_{sw} is set to approximately 200 kHz and 160 kHz at 600 V and 800 V, respectively, which could not be realized with the Si IGBTs. Furthermore, power ferrite PC40 (manufactured by TDK) [35] is selected as the core material of the transformers. It provides a high resistivity and a small eddy current loss and is suitable for high f_{sw} . For this PC40 core material, saturated flux density B_s is 380 mT at 100°C. To reduce P_{core} and prevent B_m from reaching B_s during the 200 kHz operation, B_m is set to 150 mT. As a result, effective volume V_e of the selected core component PC40EER28L-Z (manufactured by TDK) is 6.15 cm³.

Here, we will provide a practical explanation of the design of the transformer for 600 V. The parameters required for the design are as follows.

1) $V_{in} = 600 V$ 2) $V_0 = 600 V$ 3) Maximum $B_m = 150 mT$ 4) $f_{sw} = 200 kHz$ 5) $A_e = 0.814 cm^2$

From these specifications and Equation (1), N_p is calculated to be 30.71 turns. To disperse heat generation from the core material, two transformers are connected in series and each N_p is set to 16 turns. Since N_s/N_p is equal to V_o/V_{in} (= 1), N_s is the same as N_p , namely 16 turns. C_{ri} is set to a value less than 100 nF in order to keep the capacitor size small. Therefore, if f_{sw} is set

800 V Three-Phase Output LLC DC/DC Resonant Converter

to approximately 200 kHz, the L_{ri} value of 6 µH or more is sufficient. After the transformer was manufactured, the measured L_r value was approximately 12 µH. Therefore, the C_r value required for creating resonance f_{sw} of 200 kHz is calculated to be approximately 60 nF. S defined as L_r/L_m is set to 0.1 [26]. Therefore, the two L_{mj} values connected in series are set to approximately 120 µH.

It has been shown that the Si IGBTs can be operated at a maximum of 50 kHz [27]. At f_{sw} of 50 kHz, if the core material considered above (PC40EEE57/47-Z) is used, A_e and V_e of the transformer are 3.44 cm² and 35.1 cm³, respectively. At the switching frequency of 200 kHz, V_e can be reduced by 82%.

Table 1 summarizes the design specifications of the LLC dc/dc for 600 V and 800 V.

ltem	Condition	
Input Voltage	600 V	800 V
(V _{in})		
Input capacitances	2200 µF	150 µF
(C_{in1}, C_{in2})		
Switching transistors	SIC MOSFET (SCT2080KE)	<u></u>
(Q _i i=1~6)	(BV=1200 V, Ron=80 mΩ)	
Magnetic inductances (L _{mi} i=1~6)	55.6 μΗ, 55.1 μΗ, 64.3 μΗ 51.8 μΗ, 56.2 μΗ, 57.5 μΗ	94.6 µH, 93.3 µH, 94.0 µH, 94.0 µH, 93.1 µH, 95.4 µH
Resonant		19.4 µH, 21.2 µH, 20.2 µH
$(L_{ri} i=1~3)$	12.0 μH, 11.6 μH, 11.6 μH	
Resonant capacitances (C _{ri} i=1~3)	60 nF	30 nF
Secondary diodes	SiC SBD (SCS210KG)	←
(D _{oi} <i>i</i> =1~6)	(BV=1200 V)	
Output capacitances	270 µF	150 µF
(C _{oi} <i>i</i> =1,2)		
Output Voltage	600 V (800 V
(<i>V</i> _o)	V UUd	

Table 1. Design specifications of LLC dc/dc

Efficiency and loss

Figure 5 shows the power conversion efficiency as a function of the output power of the *LLC* dc/dc designed for 600 V. The power conversion efficiency is calculated from the energy usage provided by the input power supply during operation. In the circuit system proposed here, since the output power is directly regenerated to the input side (via diode D_r as shown in Figure 3), V_0 is equal to V_{in} and the provided amount of energy can be regarded as the power loss in the *LLC* dc/dc.

The achieved maximum value of the power conversion efficiency is 97.6% at 5 kW. Switching frequency f_{sw} reaches 182 kHz to 217 kHz because of the high speed switching characteristics of the SiC MOSFETs.



Figure 5. Efficiency and switching frequency for 600 V design

800 V Three-Phase Output LLC DC/DC Resonant Converter

Figures 6 (a) and (b) show, respectively, the power loss (P_{loss}) and power conversion efficiency η_p as functions of the output power with different V_{in} for the 800 V design. As shown in Figure 6 (a), a higher V_{in} reduces the increase rate of P_{loss} . Above 3 kW for V_{in} = 600 V and 4 kW for V_{in} = 700 V, the P_{loss} value is increased so much that the power cannot be supplied.

As shown in Figure 6 (b), it is difficult for the conversion efficiency to reach 97% and the output power is up to 3 kW for 600 V. However, the conversion efficiency can reach 98.1% at the output of 5 kW for 800 V, making the power loss practical.

Note that the conversion efficiency for 600 V shown here is based on a case where the transformers used are designed with the design specifications for 800 V. If the transformers designed with the design specifications for 600 V are used, the power conversion efficiency is 97.6% at 5 kW.



Figure 6. Efficiency characteristics for 800 V design

Switching waveforms in components

Figure 7 shows the measured waveforms of drain-source voltage V_{DS} and drain current I_D for SiC MOSFET Q₁. The figures (a) and (b) show the waveforms for the 600 V and 800 V designs, respectively. The switching frequencies are approximately 200 kHz and 160 kHz for (a) and (b), respectively. The change in V_{DS} is completed within a very short period while I_D is flowing to the negative side. The ZVS operation can be easily confirmed from either of the waveforms.



Figure 7. V_{DS} and I_D waveforms

800 V Three-Phase Output LLC DC/DC Resonant Converter

Figures 8 and 9 show differences in the output diode currents with or without the current balance circuit. Figures 8 (a) and (b) summarize the currents in the secondary side diodes in the individual phases for the 600 V design. While (a) shows the currents without the current balance circuit, (b) shows the currents with the addition of the current balance circuit. Figures (c) and (d) show the total sum of the diode currents in the individual phases. The total sum represents the ripple current flowing in output capacitors C_{o1} and C_{o2} . Figure 9 shows the waveforms for the 800 V design and its details are the same as those of Figure 8.

In either case, if there is no current balance circuit, the current in only one of the phases is decreased or increased, indicating that unbalanced currents are supplied. In contrast, with the addition of the current balance circuit, nearly equal currents are flowing in all phases. The peak-to-peak value of ripple current ΔI_{ripple} is, respectively, 6.45 Ap-p at maximum and 6.46 Ap-p at maximum in the unbalanced cases shown in Figures 8 (c) and 9 (c). With the additional current balance circuit, the value is 4.31 Ap-p and 3.75 Ap-p as shown in Figures 8 (d) and 9 (d), respectively, being reduced to 2/3 or less for both voltages.

Equation (2) shows the minimum value of input capacitance C_{in} or output capacitance C_{o} defined as C_{m} . This equation also shows that, with a smaller value for ΔI_{ripple} , it is possible to set C_{in} or C_{o} to a smaller value, leading to the downsizing.

$$C_{\rm m} = \frac{\Delta I_{ripple} \times T_{on}}{\Delta V_{ripple}} \tag{2}$$

In Equation (2), ΔV_{ripple} is the maximum of the peak-to-peak value of the capacitor voltage, and T_{on} is the ON time of Qi. ΔV_{ripple} is usually set relative to the applied voltage. For example, if it is set to 0.1%, the value is 0.6 V and 0.8 V for 600 V and 800 V, respectively. Using the above values of ΔI_{ripple} , C_m is calculated to be 29.5 µF for 600 V and 25.5 µF for 800 V when the currents are not balanced, and 19.7 µF for 600 V and 14.8 µF for 800 V when the currents are balanced. For either voltage, the capacitance of the output capacitor is reduced to a half by balancing the currents.



(c) Total current in secondary side diodes (unbalanced)

(d) Total current in secondary side diodes (balanced)

Figure 8. Waveforms of output ripple current (for 600 V)



(c) Total current in secondary side diodes (unbalanced)

(d) Total current in secondary side diodes (balanced)

Figure 9. Waveforms of output ripple current (for 800 V)

Loss analysis

Figure 10 shows breakdowns of losses in the *LLC* dc/dc using the SiC MOSFETs when the output power is 5 kW. The figures (a) and (b) show the breakdowns for 600 V and 800 V, respectively.

First, for the conduction loss in the transistors ($I_D^2 * R_{DS(ON)}$), on-resistance $R_{DS(ON)}$ of the SiC MOSFETs used depends on junction temperature T_j . For this evaluation, a heat sink was installed on the MOSFET and the heat was powerfully dissipated with a cooling fan. As a result, T_j is kept around 50°C. At $T_j = 50°C$, $R_{DS(ON)}$ is approximately 90m Ω [28]. The drain current of the SiC MOSFET I_D is 4.5 A and 3.75 A for $V_{in} = 600$ V and 800 V, respectively. Therefore, the total loss in the SiC MOSFETs used (6 units), resulting in 10.9 W and 7.59 W for 600 V and 800 V, respectively. For the conduction loss in the secondary side diodes, the average current and the forward voltage are 2.94 A and 1.1 V for 600 V, and 2.62 A and 1.05 V for 800 V. Therefore, by multiplying the loss by the number of transistors used (6 units), the total loss is calculated to be 19.4 W and 16.5 W for 600 V and 800 V, respectively.



Figure 10. Loss analysis (power value)

Next, for the conduction loss (copper loss) in the transformers used, the total resistance of the winding copper wire shows frequency characteristics. The total resistance is 1.66Ω at the switching frequency of 183 kHz for 600 V, and 1.21Ω at 160 kHz for 800 V. Since the effective value of the current flowing in the transformers is 6.08 Arms for 600 V and 4.83 Arms for 800 V, the copper loss in the transformers is 61.4 W and 28.2 W for 600 V and 800 V, respectively. Needless to say, the significant reduction in the copper loss for 800 V can be attributed to the smaller output current for 800 V because of the higher output voltage at the same output power.

Furthermore, the core loss, another major loss in the transformers, is calculated as follows. First, for 600 V, using Equation (1) with $A_e = 0.814 \text{ cm}^3$, $N_P = 16 \text{ turns}$, $f_{sw} = 182.9 \text{ kHz}$, and the input voltage of 300 V on each of the transformers connected in series, B_m is calculated to be 157 mT. From this value of B_m , based on the B_m -core loss characteristics described in the data sheet of TDK PC40EER28L-Z, the core loss is calculated to be 22.2 W. Similarly, B_m and the core loss are calculated to be 181 mT and 29.5 W for 800 V, respectively.

There still remains a difference between these losses and the total loss that is actually measured. The difference is 3.2 W and 12.2 W for 600 V and 800 V, respectively. It mainly represents the switching loss in the SiC MOSFETs, the core loss in the current balance transformers, and the ESR loss in the input and output capacitors.

Finally, Figure 11 shows the composition ratio of the losses for 600 V and 800 V. The use of the SiC MOSFETs reduces the conduction loss in the transistors to approximately 10% of the entire loss. However, the total loss in the transformers (copper loss + core loss) accounts for as much as approximately 58% and 83% of the entire loss for 600 V and 800 V, respectively. Therefore, how to reduce the losses in the transformers can be regarded as a future challenge.



Figure 11. Loss analysis (ratio)

Summary

With the three-phase 5 kW *LLC* dc/dc converter using the SiC MOSFETs, the switching frequency reached approximately 200 kHz for 600 V and enabled the downsizing of the isolated transformers. Such downsizing is impossible to achieve with the Si IGBTs. The high BV of the SiC MOSFETs allowed a V_{in} value as high as 800 V.

Meanwhile, the three-phase configuration reduced the current in each phase, maintained the high power conversion efficiency of the *LLC* dc/dc, and avoided increase in the switching loss at high frequencies. Furthermore, the additional current balance transformers for balancing the total currents in the individual phases reduced the current ripple by reducing the circuit peak current. These findings allowed us to further propose a circuit system that can minimize C_{in} and C_{o} .

We hope you find these circuit examples informative.

circuit diagram (schematics)



(a) Power Switching (SW) PCB





(b) Mother (MB) PCB

March 2022



References:

[1] R. S. Yang, L. K. Chang, and H. C. Chen "An isolated full-bridge dc-dc converter with 1-MHz bidirectional communication channel," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 58, no. 9, pp. 4407–4413, Sep. 2011.

[2] M. D. Seeman, "GaN devices in resonant LLC converter," IEEE Power Electron. Mag., vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 36-41, Mar. 2015.

[3] J. Y. Lee, Y. S. Jeong, and B. M. Han "An isolated dc/dc converter using high-frequency unregulated LLC resonant converter for fuel cell applications," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 58, no. 7, pp. 2926–2934, Jul. 2011.

[4] H. Wang, S. Dusmez, and A. Khaligh, "Maximum efficiency point tracking technique for LLC-based PEV chargers through variable dc link control," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 61, no. 11, pp. 6041–6049, Nov. 2014.

[5] S. Zong, H. Luo, W. Li, and C. Xia, "Theoretical evaluation of stability improvement brought by resonant current loop for paralleled LLC converters," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 62, no. 7, pp. 4170–4180, Jul. 2015.

[6] M. H. Ryu, H. S. Kim, J. W. Baek, H. G. Kim, and J. H. Jung, "Effective test bed of 380-V dc distribution system using isolated power converters," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 62, no. 7, pp. 4525–4536, Jul. 2015.

[7] Z. Hu, Y. Qiu, L. Wang, and Y. F. Liu, "An interleaved LLC resonant converter operating at constant switching frequency," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 2931–2943, Jun. 2014.

[8] J. R. Pinheiro and I. Barbi, "The three-level ZVS-PWM dc-to-dc converter," IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 486–492, Oct.1993.

[9] R. Beiranvand, B. Rashidian, M. R. Zolghadri, and S. M. H. Alavi, "Optimizing the normalized dead-time and maximum switching frequency of a wide-adjustable-range LLC resonant converter," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 462–472, Feb. 2011.

[10] M. D. Seeman, S. R. Bahl, D. I. Anderson, and G. A. Shah, "Advantages of GaN in a high-voltage resonant LLC converter," in *Proc. 29th Annu. IEEE Appl. Power Electron. Conf. Expo. (APEC)*, Mar. 2014, pp. 476–483.

[11] X. Ruan, B. Li, J. Wang, and J. Li, "Zero-voltages-switching PWM three-level converter with current-doubler-rectifier," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 1523–1532, Nov. 2004.

[12] CoolMOSTM Selection Guide, "Common CoolMOSTM Applications and Topologies," Infineon Technologies Co. [Online]. Available: <u>http://www.</u>infineon.com/dgdl/Infineon+-++Product +Brochures+-+Selection+Guide +-+CoolMOS.pdf?fileId=db3a30432f91014f012f95fc7c24399d

[13] "600-V GaN Devices Are Offered In PQFNs Plus TO-220 s For Low-Power Designs," Transphorm's TPH3002LD, TPH3002LD, TPH3002PD and TPH3002PS, 600-V GaN HEMT devices, How2Power Today, Apr. 2014 [Online]. Available: http://www.how2power.com/newsletters/1404/products/ H2PToday 1404 products Transphorm.pdf?NOREDIR=1

[14] Y. Gu, Z. Lu, L. Hang, Z. Qian, and G. Huang, "Three-level LLC series resonant dc/dc converter," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 781–789, Jul. 2005.

[15] I. O. Lee and G. W. Moon, "Analysis and design of a three-level LLC series resonant converter for high-and wide-input-voltage applications," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 2966–2979, Jun. 2012.

[16] B. M. Song, R. McDowell, and A. Bushnell, "A three-level dc-dc converter with wide-input voltage operations for ship-electric-power distribution systems," *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 1856–1863, Oct. 2004.

[17] R. T. H. Li, M. Vancu, F. Canales, and D. Aggeler, "High performance dc-dc converter for wide voltage range operation," in *Proc. 7th Int. IEEE Power Electron. Motion Control Conf.*, Jun. 2012, pp. 1151–1158.

[18] S. Saravanan, J. Mohan, and V. Kumar, "Analysis of a three-level LLC series resonant converter for high-and wide-input-voltage applications," *J. Eng. Res. Appl.*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 79–84, Apr. 2014.

[19] "SiC power devices and modules Rev.03," Application Note, Rohm Co., Nov. 2020

[Online]. Available: https://fscdn.rohm.com/en/products/databook/applinote/discrete/sic/common/sic_appli-e.pdf

[20] M. Kobayashi and M. Yamamoto, "Current balance performance evaluations for transformer-linked three phase dc-dc LLC resonant converter," in *Proc.* Int. Conf. Renew. Energy Res. Appl. (ICRERA), Nov. 2012, pp. 1–3.

[21] E. Orietti, P. Mattavelli, G. Spiazzi, C. Adragna, and G. Gattavari, "Current sharing in three-phase LLC interleaved resonant converter," in *Proc. IEEE Energy Convers. Congr. Expo. (ECCE'09)*, Sep. 2009, pp 1145–1152.

[22] E. Orietti, P. Mattavelli, G. Spiazzi, C. Adragna, and G. Gattavari, "Analysis of multi-phase LLC resonant converters," in *Proc. IEEE Power Electron. Conf. (COBEP '09)*, 2009, pp. 464–471.

[23] "General descriptions of aluminum electrolytic capacitors," Nichicon Co., Tech. Note 8101E [Online].

Available: http://www.nichicon.co.jp/english/products/pdf/aluminum.pdf

[24] S. Inoue and H. Akagi, "A bidirectional isolated dc-dc converter as a core circuit of the next-generation medium-voltage power conversion system," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 535–542, Mar. 2007.

[25] R. Stuler, J. Uherek, and L. Seifert, "Implementing a 12 V/240 W power supply with the NCP4303B, NCP1605, and NCP1397B," On Semiconductor Co., AND8460/D, Jun. 2012 [Online]. Available: http://www.onsemi.jp/pub_link/Collateral/AND8460-D.PDF

[26] H. Ding, "Design of resonant half-bridge converter using IRS2795(1,2) control IC," International Rectifier Co., AN-1160 [Online]. Available: http://www.irf.com/technical-info/appnotes/an-1160.pdf.

[27] B. Rubino, G. Catalisano, L. Abbatelli, and S. Buonomo, "Comparative analysis of driving approach and performance of 1.2 kV SiC MOSFETs, Si IGBTs, and normally-off SiC JFETs," STMicroelectronics Co., Tech. Art. TA0349

[Online]. Available: http://www.st.com/web/en/resource/technical/document/technical_article/DM00087447.pdf

[28] Datasheet,SCT2080KE, Rohm Co., Mar. 2021 [Online]. Available: https://www.rohm.co.jp/products/sic-power-devices/sic-mosfet/sct2080ke-product

[29] Y. Nakakohara, H. Otake, T. M. Evans, T. Yoshida, M. Tsuruya, and K. Nakahara, "Three phase LLC series resonant DC/DC converter using SiC MOSFETs to realize high-voltage and high-frequency operation," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 63, no. 4, pp. 2103–2110, Apr. 2016.

Notes		
1)	The information contained herein is subject to change without notice.	
2)	Before you use our Products, please contact our sales representative and verify the latest specifica- tions :	
3)	Although ROHM is continuously working to improve product reliability and quality, semicon- ductors can break down and malfunction due to various factors. Therefore, in order to prevent personal injury or fire arising from failure, please take safety measures such as complying with the derating characteristics, implementing redundant and fire prevention designs, and utilizing backups and fail-safe procedures. ROHM shall have no responsibility for any damages arising out of the use of our Poducts beyond the rating specified by ROHM.	
4)	Examples of application circuits, circuit constants and any other information contained herein are provided only to illustrate the standard usage and operations of the Products. The peripheral conditions must be taken into account when designing circuits for mass production.	
5)	The technical information specified herein is intended only to show the typical functions of and examples of application circuits for the Products. ROHM does not grant you, explicitly or implicitly, any license to use or exercise intellectual property or other rights held by ROHM or any other parties. ROHM shall have no responsibility whatsoever for any dispute arising out of the use of such technical information.	
6)	The Products specified in this document are not designed to be radiation tolerant.	
7)	For use of our Products in applications requiring a high degree of reliability (as exemplified below), please contact and consult with a ROHM representative : transportation equipment (i.e. cars, ships, trains), primary communication equipment, traffic lights, fire/crime prevention, safety equipment, medical systems, servers, solar cells, and power transmission systems.	
8)	Do not use our Products in applications requiring extremely high reliability, such as aerospace equipment, nuclear power control systems, and submarine repeaters.	
9)	ROHM shall have no responsibility for any damages or injury arising from non-compliance with the recommended usage conditions and specifications contained herein.	
10)	ROHM has used reasonable care to ensure the accuracy of the information contained in this document. However, ROHM does not warrants that such information is error-free, and ROHM shall have no responsibility for any damages arising from any inaccuracy or misprint of such information.	
11)	Please use the Products in accordance with any applicable environmental laws and regulations, such as the RoHS Directive. For more details, including RoHS compatibility, please contact a ROHM sales office. ROHM shall have no responsibility for any damages or losses resulting non-compliance with any applicable laws or regulations.	
12)	When providing our Products and technologies contained in this document to other countries, you must abide by the procedures and provisions stipulated in all applicable export laws and regulations, including without limitation the US Export Administration Regulations and the Foreign Exchange and Foreign Trade Act.	
13)	This document, in part or in whole, may not be reprinted or reproduced without prior consent of ROHM.	



Thank you for your accessing to ROHM product informations. More detail product informations and catalogs are available, please contact us.

ROHM Customer Support System

http://www.rohm.com/contact/